

Table 3.1 Sample and response of the main survey per stratum, for CAPI and MM, the Netherlands, 2001

<i>Main Survey</i>		<i>CAPI</i>			<i>MM</i>			<i>Total response</i>
		<i>Sample*</i>	<i>Response</i>		<i>Sample*</i>	<i>Response</i>		
<i>Stratum</i>	<i>Address density</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>%</i>
1)	Amsterdam	2,045	963	47.1	8,018	2,971	37.1	39.1
2)	Rotterdam	915	391	42.7	4,871	2,156	44.3	44.0
3)	Other municipalities with highest address density	642	365	56.9	3,908	1,965	50.3	51.2
4)	Municipalities with high address density	711	334	47.0	3,922	2,224	56.7	55.2
5)	Municipalities with moderate address density	618	307	49.7	3,642	1,878	51.6	51.3
6)	Municipalities with low address density	669	330	49.3	3,647	1,822	50.0	49.9
7)	Municipalities with lowest address density	599	327	54.6	3,300	1,622	49.2	50.0
Total	The Netherlands	6,199	3,017	48.7	31,308	14,638	46.8	47.1

Sample*: the valid sample is given here (the gross sample minus the frame errors and non-valid cases)

Source: Manja D. Abraham, Hendrien L. Kaal, & Peter D.A. Cohen (2002), *Licit and Illicit Drug Use in the Netherlands, 2001*.

Amsterdam: CEDRO / Mets & Schilt. p. 89.