

Table 3.3b Sample and response of the non-response survey per stratum, by response type, for MM

<i>Non-Response Survey - MM</i>		<i>Refusal</i>				<i>No contact</i>			
		<i>Sample</i>	<i>Sample*</i>	<i>Response</i>		<i>Sample</i>	<i>Sample*</i>	<i>Response</i>	
<i>Stratum</i>	<i>Address density</i>	<i>frame</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>frame</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
1)	Amsterdam	1,060	640	213	33.3	3,889	727	180	24.8
2)	Rotterdam	682	500	163	32.6	1,899	838	158	18.9
3)	Other municipalities with highest address density	559	499	181	36.3	1,275	703	151	21.5
4)	Municipalities with high address density	641	641	145	22.6	948	769	163	21.2
5)	Municipalities with moderate address density	844	600	205	34.2	838	599	151	25.2
6)	Municipalities with low address density	268	268	93	34.7	1,421	1,100	273	24.8
7)	Municipalities with lowest address density	160	160	36	22.5	1,398	1,201	311	25.9
Total	The Netherlands	4,214	3,308	1,036	31.3	11,668	5,937	1,387	23.4

Sample*: the valid sample is given here (the gross sample minus the frame errors and non-valid cases)

Source: Manja D. Abraham, Hendrien L. Kaal, & Peter D.A. Cohen (2002), *Licit and Illicit Drug Use in the Netherlands, 2001*.

Amsterdam: CEDRO / Mets & Schilt. p. 92.